

UNITED STATE ARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER 61 FILING DATE 96 CHRIS	PENSENED APPLICANT	L AT	rarstedegkerno.
НМ			
JOHN W CALDWELL WOODCOCK WASHBURN KURTZ MACKIEWICZ		MARSENEUNE	
AND NORRIS ONE LIBERTY PLACE - 46TH FLOO PHILADELPHIA PA 19103	R	ART FIRM	PAPER NUMBER 3 0
	4.6	ATE MAILED.	

ADVISORY ACTION    THE PERIOD FOR RESPONSE:	Below is a communication from the EXAMINER in charge of this application
THE PERIOD FOR RESPONSE:   a	
THE PERIOD FOR RESPONSE:   a	ADVICEDLY ACTION
a   is extended to run	ADVISORY ACTION
b)   expires three months from the date of the final rejection or as of the mailing date of this Advisory Action, whichever is later. In no event however, will the stabutory period for the response expire later than as months from the date of the final rejection.  Any extension of time must be obtained by filing a settion under 37 CFR 1.15(a), the prospect exposure and the persponse and also the date for the purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the lose. Any extension free pursuant to 37 CFR 1.17 will be calculated from the date of the originally set shortened statutory period for response or as set forth in b) above.    Appellant's Brief is due in accordance with 37 CFR 1.18(a).     Applicant's response to the final rejection, filed	THE PERIOD FOR RESPONSE:
event however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six months from the date of the final rejection.  Any extension of time must be obtained by filing a petition under 70 CFER 1.136(a), he proposed response and the appropriate fee. The date on which the response, the petition, and the entered field is the date of the date for the purposes of determining the period of extension and the correspondent field is the date of the response and also the date for the purposes of determining the period of extension and the correspondent field in the period of the period	
The date on which the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and also the date for the purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the file. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.17 will be calculated from the date of the originally set shortened statutory period for response or as set forth in b) above.    Applicant's response to the final rejection, filed \$\frac{4127}{29}\$ has been considered with the following effect, but it is not deemed to place the application in condition for alternates.   Applicant's response to the final rejection filed \$\frac{4127}{29}\$ has been considered with the following effect, but it is not deemed to place the application in condition for alternates.   Applicant's response to the final rejection filed \$\frac{4127}{29}\$ has been considered with the following effect, but it is not deemed to place the application and/or search. (See Note).   They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See Note).   They raise the issue of new matter. (See Note).   They raise the issue of new matter. (See Note).   They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal.   They present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.    NOTE: Declaration is defective as notal before   Declaration is defective as notal before   Declaration is defective as notal before   Declaration is appeal.	b) expires three months from the date of the final rejection or as of the mailing date of this Advisory Action, whichever is later. In no event however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six months from the date of the final rejection.
Applicant's response to the final rejection, filed #27/99 has been considered with the following effect, but it is not deemed to place the application in condition for allowance;    Applicant's response to the final rejection in condition for allowance;	The date on which the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and also the date for the purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR
1. New proposed amendments to the delime modification will not be entered and the final rejection stands because:  a. There is no convincing showing under 37 CFR 1.118(b) why the proposed amendment is necessary and was not earlier presented.  b) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See Note).  c. They raise the issue of new matter. (See Note).  d) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal.  e. They present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.  NOTE: Declaration as defective as notal below.  2. Newly proposed or amended claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.  3. When the filing an appeal, the proposed amendment of finally rejected and the status of the claims will be as follows:  Claims allowed: North Claims allowed: North Claims objected to: Sand 19 (Sue to day, from rejected show)  Claims rejected: 12-17 and 23-25  However:  Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s):  4. The affidavit exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does not overcome the rejection because the number of the primary same involutions. That I applicant is a subjective due to the confidence of the primary same involutions and presented.  The affidavit or exhibit will not be considered because applicant has not shown good and sufficent reasons why it was not assisted. The Applicant is a subjective of the primary same involutions and the first actions. But Adv. Act.) The affidavit or exhibit will not be considered because applicant has not shown good and sufficent reasons why it was not assisted.	
a. ☐ There is no convincing showing under 37 CFR 1.118(b) why the proposed amendment is necessary and was not earlier presented.  b. ☐ They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See Note).  c. ☐ They raise the issue of new matter. (See Note).  d. ☑ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal.  e. ☐ They present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.  NOTE: ☐ Declaration is ☐ Declaration in Declaratio	
b. They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See Note).  c.   They raise the issue of new matter. (See Note).  d. They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal.  e.   They present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.  NOTE:   Declaration is   Declaration   Declaration   Declaration   Declaration    2.   Newly proposed or amended claims   would be allowed if submitted in a separately filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims.  3.   Departure filing an appeal, the proposed amendment   will be unterest   will not be entered and the status of the claims will be as follows:  Claims allowed:   NoTA	1. The proposed amendments to the claim and for specification will not be entered and the final rejection stands because:
c.   They raise the issue of new matter. (See Note).  d. They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal.  e.   They present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.  NOTE: Declaration as defective as notal below  2.   Newly proposed or amended claims	<ul> <li>a There is no convincing showing under 37 CFR 1.116(b) why the proposed amendment is necessary and was not earlier presented.</li> </ul>
d   They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal.  e.   They present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.  NOTE:   Declaration	b. They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See Note).
e. They present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.  NOTE: Declaration is defective as notal below.  2. Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowed if submitted in a separately filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims.  3. Hope the filing an appeal. The proposed amendment will be proposed amendment will be as follows:  Claims allowed: Notal Claims objected to: Sand 19 (but to deep from rejected claims)  Claims rejected: 12-17 and 23-25  However:  Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s):  4. The affidavit exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does not overcome the rejection because the Submitted Declaration in Objective due to the confirming attentions that are not into the following rejection of this print? is save invalid overcome. The 103(a)  5. The affidavit or exhibit will not be considered because applicant has not shown good and sufficent reasons why it was not earlier? 11/25/98, presented.	c. They raise the issue of new matter. (See Note).
Note: Declaration is defective as notal below  2. Newly proposed or amended claims	d. They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal.
2. Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowed if submitted in a separately filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims.  3. Non the filing an appeal, the proposed amendment   will be unterest   will not be entered and the status of the claims will be as follows:  Claims allowed:	e. They present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
the non-allowable claims.    Socialists   S	NOTE: Declaration is defective as noted below
the non-allowable claims.    Socialists   S	
the non-allowable claims.    Socialists   S	
Claims allowed:	the non-allowable claims.
Claims objected to: 18 and 19 (due to deg. from rejected class)  Claims rejected: 12-17 and 23-25  However:  Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s):  The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does not overcome the rejection because the Submitted Descention is defective due to its containing attentions that are non-interest, in a connected that parfection of this priority issue is out overcome. The 103(a)  The affidavit or exhibit will not be considered because applicant has not shown good and sufficent reasons why it was not earlier or respective in the first action, much 11/25/18, presented.	3. Upon the filing an appeal, the proposed amondment will be entered and the status of the claims will be as follows:
However;  Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s):  The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does not overcome the rejection because the Submitted Descrition is defective due to its containing attenditions that are non-interled, in a commonledged that perfection of this priority issue is would overcome the 103(a)  The affidavit or exhibit will not be considered because applicant has not shown good and sufficent reasons why it was not earlier 11/25/98, presented.  The affidavit or exhibit will not be considered because applicant has not shown good and sufficent reasons why it was not earlier in the first action, much 11/25/98, presented.	Claims objected to: 18 and 19 (due to dep. from rejected class)
Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s):  4. The affidavit exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does not overcome the rejection because the Submitted Declaration is defective due to its containing attenditions that are non-introded, it is authority of the priority is one is order overcome. The 103(a)  5. The affidavit or exhibit will not be considered because applicant has not shown good and sufficent reasons why it was not earlier presented.  The affidavit or exhibit will not be considered because applicant has not shown good and sufficent reasons why it was not earlier in the Adv. Adv. The invariance of the presented of the first action, much 11/25/18, the presented of the first action of the first action of the first action, much 11/25/18, the presented of the first action of the first	•
Submilled Declaration is defective dere to its containing attentions that are non-initialed. The acknowledged that perfection of this priority issue would overcome the 103(a) 5. The affidavit or exhibit will not be considered because applicant has not shown good and sufficent reasons why it was not earlier presented.  (rejection in the first action, mild for Adr Act.)	
5. The affidavit or exhibit will not be considered because applicant has not shown good and sufficent reasons why it was not earlier, 11/25/98 presented.  The affidavit or exhibit will not be considered because applicant has not shown good and sufficent reasons why it was not earlier in 11/25/98.  The affidavit or exhibit will not be considered because applicant has not shown good and sufficent reasons why it was not earlier in 11/25/98.	Serbmitted Declaration is defective due to its containing afterations that are
To maintained as modeled in the new 100)	5. The affidavit or exhibit will not be considered because applicant has not shown good and sufficent reasons why it was not earlier
Miles Miles	To maintained as modified in the Hatting
Other	April 1997
Anden N. Marshor	Anden N. Marshor
08/612,66/ ARDIN H. MARSCHEL PRIMARY EXAMINER	08/6/2,66/ ARDIN H. MARSCHEL PRIMARY EVAMINIED
PTOL-303 (REV. 5-89)	יייייייייייייייייייייייייייייייייייייי